Journal of Computing and Electronic Information Management
ISSN: 2413-1660 | Vol. 18, No. 1, 2025

Constructing a New "Teacher-AI" Collaborative Teaching Paradigm in International Chinese Language Education Enabled by Generative AI

Junyan Chen [1], Yue Huang [2], Juqi Xu [3], Dongjin He[4, *]

[1] Faculty of Chinese language and culture, Guangdong University of Foreign Studies, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China
[2] School of National Finance, Guangdong University of Finance, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China
[3] School of Financial Mathematics & Statistics, Guangdong University of Finance, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China
[4] Department of Physical Education, Guangdong University of Finance, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

[*] **Corresponding author**: Dongjin He

Abstract: The advent of Generative Artificial Intelligence represents a watershed moment for educational paradigms across disciplines. Within the field of International Chinese Language Education, Generative Artificial Intelligence presents both profound opportunities and significant challenges, compelling a reconceptualization of traditional pedagogical models. This paper moves beyond the discourse of AI as a mere tool or replacement for educators, and instead, proposes a comprehensive "Teacher-AI" collaborative teaching paradigm. This paradigm is conceptualized as a synergistic ecosystem wherein the human teacher and AI systems engage in a dynamic partnership to foster a more personalized, efficient, and profound learning experience for students of Chinese as a second language. This inquiry is qualitative and theoretical, employing a conceptual analysis methodology. It begins by establishing a theoretical foundation grounded in Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory, particularly the Zone of Proximal Development, and Siemens' Connectivism, arguing that these frameworks provide the necessary scaffolding for understanding a collaborative intelligence model. The core of the paper delineates the proposed paradigm, systematiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy redefining the roles of both the human teacher and the AI. The teacher's role evolves from a primary knowledge dispenser to that of a pedagogical architect, a humanistic mentor, a facilitator of complex interactions, and an assessor of deep competency. Concurrently, the AI is positioned as an indefatigable personalized tutor, a dynamic content co-creator, an immersive practice partner, and an intelligent assessment assistant. A three-stage implementation framework—encompassing pre-class preparation, in-class facilitation, and post-class consolidation—is detailed to provide a practical roadmap for integrating this model into International Chinese Language Education curricula. The paper further explores specific application scenarios, including personalized linguistic practice, simulated communicative contexts, enhancement of intercultural competence, and scaffolded academic writing. Finally, it discusses the pedagogical implications, potential challenges such as ethical considerations and the need for new teacher literacies, and charts directions for future research. This paper contributes a structured, theoretiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy-grounded framework for harnessing Generative Artificial Intelligence not as a disruptive force, but as a collaborative partner in advancing the mission of international Chinese language education.

Keywords: Generative AI; International Chinese Language Education; Teacher-AI Collaboration; Pedagogical Paradigm; Zone of Proximal Development; Connectivism; Second Language Acquisition.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background and Significance of the Study

The 21st century has been characterized by successive waves of technological innovation that have fundamentally reshaped societal structures, and education has been no exception. The most recent and arguably most transformative of these is the proliferation of Generative Artificial Intelligence (Generative Artificial Intelligence), epitomized by large language models (LLMs) such as OpenAI's GPT series and Google's Gemini. Unlike previous forms of educational technology, which were largely informational or diagnostic, Generative Artificial Intelligence possesses the capacity to create novel content, engage in sophisticated dialogue, and simulate human-like cognitive tasks [1]. This technological leap has precipitated an urgent and global conversation about the future of teaching and learning.

Within this global context, the field of International Chinese Language Education occupies a unique position. As the global demand for Chinese language proficiency continues to grow for economic, cultural, and diplomatic reasons, International Chinese Language Education faces a distinct set of pedagogical challenges. These include a diverse and geographiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy dispersed student body with varying motivations and learning backgrounds, a shortage of qualified instructors in many regions, and the inherent complexities of teaching a non-alphabetic language with tonal features and a rich, nuanced cultural context [2]. Traditional pedagogical models, often constrained by standardized curricula and limited resources for personalization, struggle to adequately address this complex landscape.

The emergence of Generative Artificial Intelligence offers a powerful potential catalyst for overcoming these long-standing challenges. intelligent tutoring systems capabilities for instant translation, personalized content generation, interactive conversational practice, and nuanced feedback generation seem custom-made for language acquisition. However, the initial discourse surrounding AI in education has often been polarized, oscillating between utopian visions of fully automated learning and dystopian fears of teacher

displacement. This paper pos intelligent tutoring systems that such a binary perspective is unproductive. The most promising path forward lies not in replacement, but in synergy; not in automation, but in augmentation.

Therefore, the central significance of this research lies in intelligent tutoring systems attempt to move beyond this dichotomy. It seeks to systematiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy explore and construct a pedagogical paradigm centered on "Teacher-AI" collaboration. Such a model does not seek to diminish the role of the human educator but to elevate it. By strategiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy delegating certain cognitive and administrative tasks to AI, the teacher is liberated to focus on the quintessentially human aspects of education: fostering critical thinking, nurturing intercultural understanding, providing socio-emotional support, and inspiring a genuine passion for learning. This study aims to provide a robust theoretical and practical framework for International Chinese Language Education practitioners, curriculum designers, and policymakers to navigate the integration of Generative Artificial Intelligence in a manner that is pedagogiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy sound, ethiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy responsible, and maximally beneficial for the learner.

1.2. Literature Review and Research Gap

The application of technology in language learning, a field often referred to as Computer-Assisted Language Learning, has a rich history. Early Computer-Assisted Language Learning systems focused on drill-and-practice exercises, offering repetitive but non-adaptive content [3]. The advent of the internet and Web 2.0 technologies shifted the focus towards authentic materials and Computer-Assisted Language Learning, enabling learners to interact with native speakers and authentic cultural products. More recently, artificial intelligence has been integrated in the form of intelligent tutoring systems and intelligent tutoring systems applications, which often incorporate speech recognition and basic adaptive learning algorithms.

However, the capabilities of Generative Artificial Intelligence represent a quantum leap beyond these predecessor technologies. Research on the application of LLMs in education is burgeoning. Studies have demonstrated their effectiveness in generating educational materials, providing writing assistance, and acting as Socratic dialogue partners [4]. In the context of language learning specifiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy, scholars have begun to explore the use of chatbots for conversational practice and automated feedback systems for writing improvement [5].

Despite this initial wave of research, a significant gap remains in the literature. Much of the existing work focuses on the technical capabilities of Generative Artificial Intelligence or intelligent tutoring systems application in discrete, isolated tasks. There is a conspicuous lack of holistic, pedagogiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy-grounded models that conceptualize the relationship between the human teacher and the AI system as a collaborative partnership. The prevailing narrative often implicitly positions AI as an autonomous tool for the student or a simple efficiency aid for the teacher. A comprehensive paradigm that redefines the roles, responsibilities, and interactions within a "Teacher-AI" ecosystem, particularly one tailored to the specific needs of International Chinese Language Education, has yet to be fully articulated.

This paper seeks to fill this gap. It moves the conversation from "what can AI do?" to "how can teachers and AI work together to create an optimal learning environment?" By situating the model within established learning theories and providing a structured implementation framework, this research aims to provide a more sophisticated and sustainable vision for the future of AI-integrated International Chinese Language Education.

1.3. Research Objectives and Questions

This study is a theoretical exploration aimed at constructing a new pedagogical model. As such, it is guided by the following primary objectives:

To establish a robust theoretical foundation for a "Teacher-AI" collaborative paradigm by synthesizing principles from sociocultural learning theory and connectivism.

To systematiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy delineate and redefine the complementary roles of the human teacher and the Generative Artificial Intelligence system within this new educational ecosystem.

To propose a practical, three-stage implementation framework that guides the integration of this collaborative model into the International Chinese Language Education teaching process.

To illustrate the application of the model through concrete scenarios relevant to the acquisition of Chinese language skills and intercultural competence.

To critiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy discuss the pedagogical implications, potential challenges, and future research directions arising from the adoption of this paradigm.

These objectives will be addressed through the exploration of the following central research questions:

What theoretical principles should underpin a synergistic and effective "Teacher-AI" collaborative teaching model in International Chinese Language Education?

How are the traditional roles of the teacher and educational tools transformed into new, collaborative roles for the human educator and Generative Artificial Intelligence?

What does the practical implementation of this collaborative model look like across the different stages of the teaching and learning cycle (pre-class, in-class, and post-class)?

What are the significant pedagogical benef intelligent tutoring systems and potential challenges associated with this proposed model?

1.4. Structure of the Paper

To address these questions, the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 establishes the theoretical foundations of the proposed model, drawing upon Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory and Siemens' Connectivism. Section 3 presents the core of the paper: a detailed exposition of the "Teacher-AI" collaborative teaching model, including intelligent tutoring systems guiding principles, the redefined roles of teacher and AI, and a three-stage implementation framework. Section 4 provides concrete application scenarios to illustrate the model's practical utility in various aspects of International Chinese Language Education. Section 5 offers a critical discussion of the model's pedagogical implications, inherent challenges, and avenues for future inquiry. Finally, Section 6 provides a conclusion, summarizing the key contributions of the paper and offering a forward-looking perspective on the future of language education in the age of generative AI.

2. Theoretical Foundations

The construction of a durable and effective pedagogical paradigm cannot proceed from technological determinism alone. It must be anchored in a deep understanding of how human beings learn. This section establishes the theoretical bedrock for the "Teacher-AI" collaborative model by integrating two powerful learning theories: Lev Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory, which emphasizes the social nature of learning, and George Siemens' Connectivism, which addresses learning in a networked, digital age.

2.1. Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory and the Zone of Proximal Development (Zone of Proximal Development)

Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory pos intelligent tutoring systems that cognitive development is fundamentally a socially mediated process [6]. Learning is not an act of isolated discovery but an internalization of knowledge and skills acquired through interaction with others. Central to this theory are two concepts that are profoundly relevant to a "Teacher-AI" collaborative model: the More Knowledgeable Other and the Zone of Proximal Development.

The the More Knowledgeable Other is any individual who possesses a greater understanding or a higher ability level than the learner with respect to a particular task, process, or concept. Traditionally, the the More Knowledgeable Other is conceived as a teacher, a peer, or a parent. In our proposed paradigm, the Generative Artificial Intelligence system can be conceptualized as a powerful, non-human the More Knowledgeable Other. It possesses a vast repository of linguistic data, cultural information, and grammatical patterns far exceeding that of any single human. It can provide immediate, scaffolded support to a learner, functioning as a "more knowledgeable" entity for a wide range of linguistic tasks.

The Zone of Proximal Development is defined as "the distance between the actual developmental level as determined by independent problem solving and the level of potential development as determined through problem solving under adult guidance or in collaboration with more capable peers" [6]. The Zone of Proximal Development represents the fertile ground where learning occurs most effectively. It is the space where a learner, with targeted assistance, can achieve what they cannot yet achieve alone.

The "Teacher-AI" collaborative model operationalizes the Zone of Proximal Development in a novel way. The Generative Artificial Intelligence, as an the More Knowledgeable Other, can provide constant, on-demand scaffolding precisely within each student's individual Zone of Proximal Development. It can break down complex grammar points, offer vocabulary hints, correct errors with explanations, and adjust the difficulty of tasks in real-time. However, the AI's ability to perceive the learner's affective state, long-term goals, or nuanced misunderstandings is limited. This is where the human teacher, as the ultimate the More Knowledgeable Other, becomes indispensable. The teacher's role is to design the overarching learning journey, to observe the interactions between the student and the AI, and to intervene strategiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy. The teacher provides the high-level guidance, the motivational encouragement, and the holistic feedback that helps the learner navigate their Zone of Proximal Development, ensuring that the scaffolding provided by the AI leads to genuine, internalized competence, not just task completion. The teacher orchestrates the learning environment, while the AI provides the micro-level, scalable support within it.

2.2. Siemens' Connectivism and Learning in a Networked Age

While Vygotsky's theory illuminates the social interaction at the core of learning, Siemens' theory of Connectivism provides a vital lens for understanding learning in our current digital, networked era [7]. Connectivism pos intelligent tutoring systems that learning is a process of forming connections and building networks. Knowledge is not a static entity to be acquired and stored in one's mind, but is distributed across a network of connections. The act of learning is the ability to traverse and grow these networks.

This theory is particularly salient for language acquisition in the 21st century. Fluency is not merely about memorizing grammar rules and vocabulary; it is about being able to successfully connect with information, people, and cultures through the target language. The "Teacher-AI" model is inherently connectivist.

First, the Generative Artificial Intelligence system acts as a powerful node in the learner's network. It connects the learner to a virtually infinite network of information, linguistic examples, and cultural contexts. A student asking, "How do I use the partInternational Chinese Language Education ?" can receive not just a rule, but dozens of example sentences across various contexts, instantly expanding their network of understanding.

Second, the collaborative model fosters the core skills identified by Siemens as crucial for connectivist learning: the ability to see connections between fields, ideas, and concepts; the ability to filter and evaluate information; and the ability to make decisions in the face of uncertainty. The human teacher's role is to cultivate these skills. The teacher does not simply present information but teaches students how to query the AI effectively ("prompt engineering"), how to critiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy evaluate the AI's output, and how to synthesize information from the AI with knowledge from other sources to construct a deeper understanding. The goal is not just to learn Chinese, but to learn how to learn Chinese within a digitally saturated environment.

By integrating Sociocultural Theory and Connectivism, we arrive at a robust theoretical justification for the "Teacher-AI" collaborative model. Vygotsky's framework explains the micro-dynamics of scaffolded learning through teacher and AI collaboration within the Zone of Proximal Development, while Siemens' framework explains the macro-dynamics of knowledge construction in a networked environment, where the teacher's primary role is to help the learner become an effective navigator and creator of knowledge networks.

3. The "Teacher-AI" Collaborative Teaching Model

Building upon the established theoretical foundations, this section delineates the architecture of the proposed "Teacher-AI" Collaborative Teaching Model. This model is not a prescriptive set of rules but a flexible paradigm designed to reconfigure the relationships between the teacher, the learner, and the technological resources at their disposal. It is predicated on a set of core principles that lead to a

fundamental redefinition of roles and a structured framework for implementation.

3.1. Core Principles of the Model

The model is guided by four interdependent principles that ensure the pedagogical focus remains on holistic learner development rather than on the technology intelligent tutoring systemself.

Teacher-Led, AI-Augmented: This principle establishes the pedagogical hierarchy. The human teacher remains the ultimate authority and architect of the learning experience. The teacher sets the learning objectives, designs the curriculum, assesses deep understanding, and provides socio-emotional guidance. Generative Artificial Intelligence functions as a powerful agent acting under the teacher's strategic direction, augmenting their capabilities and extending their reach, but never usurping their central role.

Radical Personalization at Scale: The model leverages Generative Artificial Intelligence's capacity to tailor learning experiences to the individual needs, pace, and interests of each student. While human teachers have always strived for differentiation, their ability to do so is limited by time and class size. The AI can provide infinite variations of exercises, customized feedback, and adaptive learning pathways for every student simultaneously, allowing for a level of personalization that was previously unattainable.

Fostering Holistic Competence: The objective of language education extends beyond mere linguistic accuracy. This model emphasizes the development of holistic communicative competence, encompassing linguistic, pragmatic, sociolinguistic, and intercultural skills. The collaboration is designed to create learning environments where students not only practice grammar and vocabulary but also engage in authentic communication, navigate cultural nuances, and develop critical thinking skills through interaction with both the AI and their peers, all under the teacher's guidance.

Developing Future-Ready Metacognitive Skills: The model explicitly recognizes that in an AI-pervasive world, the ability to learn how to learn is paramount. A central goal is to cultivate students' metacognitive abilities. The teacher guides students in learning how to effectively collaborate with AI systems, how to critiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy assess AI-generated information, and how to use AI as a tool for self-directed inquiry and lifelong learning. This prepares them not just for Chinese proficiency but for a future where human-AI collaboration will be a key professional skill.

3.2. Redefining Roles in the Collaborative Ecosystem

The successful implementation of this model hinges on a profound shift in the perceived roles of both the human educator and the AI system. They are no longer seen as separate entities but as partners in a new educational dyad.

3.2.1. The Evolving Role of the Human Teacher

The teacher's role transitions from a "sage on the stage" to a "guide on the side," and further evolves into four distinct, yet overlapping, functions:

The Pedagogical Architect: The teacher is the chief designer of the learning ecosystem. This involves selecting appropriate Generative Artificial Intelligence tools, setting clear pedagogical goals for their use, and designing learning tasks and projects that integrate AI in a meaningful way. They construct the "digital classroom" and the "analogue classroom," ensuring they are seamlessly linked. For example, they might design a project where students use an AI to script a dialogue for a specific cultural scenario and then perform and critique that dialogue in class.

The Humanistic Mentor: As AI handles many of the repetitive aspects of instruction (e.g., grammar drills, initial error correction), the teacher is afforded more time and cognitive bandwidth to focus on the human dimension of learning. This includes providing personalized encouragement, addressing learning anxiety, understanding students' individual motivations, and fostering a supportive and inclusive classroom community. They connect the language learning journey to the students' personal and professional aspirations.

The Facilitator of Complex Interaction: The teacher orchestrates higher-order learning activities that AI cannot manage alone. This includes leading nuanced classroom discussions about cultural values reflected in AI-generated texts, facilitating collaborative projects where students use AI as a resource, and teaching the subtleties of pragmatics and non-verbal communication that are often absent in AI interactions. The teacher's expertise is crucial for turning AI-generated content into a springboard for deep, critical conversation.

The Assessor of Deep Competence: While AI can efficiently assess formative, discrete-item knowledge (e.g., vocabulary quizzes, grammar accuracy), the human teacher remains the sole arbiter of deep, holistic competence. The teacher assesses students' ability to apply their knowledge in novel, authentic situations, their intercultural sensitivity, their critical thinking skills, and their creativity. Assessment shifts from a focus on correctness to a focus on communicative effectiveness, strategic competence, and metacognitive awareness.

3.2.2. The Defined Role of the Generative AI

The Generative Artificial Intelligence is not a passive tool but an active participant in the learning process, performing four key functions under the teacher's architectural guidance:

The Indefatigable Personalized Tutor: The AI can provide one-on-one, 24/7 support to every learner. It can answer an infinite number of questions, provide instant feedback on written and spoken production, and adapt the difficulty of exercises based on the student's performance. For a student struggling with Chinese measure words, the AI can generate endless, context-specific practice sentences until mastery is achieved, a task that would be impossible for a human teacher to perform for every student.

The Dynamic Content Co-Creator: Under the teacher's direction, the AI can generate a vast array of customized learning materials. This includes creating reading passages tailored to specific proficiency levels and student interests, generating lists of vocabulary relevant to a particular domain (e.g., business Chinese, medical Chinese), and even co-creating entire lesson plans or assessment rubrics with the teacher, significantly reducing the teacher's preparation time.

The Immersive Practice Partner: Generative Artificial Intelligence can simulate a wide range of authentic communicative scenarios, acting as a tireless and non-judgmental conversational partner. Students can practice everything from ordering food in a virtual restaurant to negotiating a business deal. The AI can be programmed to adopt different personas, registers, and regional accents, providing a safe and scalable environment for students to build communicative fluency and confidence before engaging

in real-world interactions.

The Intelligent Assessment Assistant: The AI can automate the grading of formative assessments, providing students with immediate, detailed feedback on their performance. It can analyze student writing for common grammatical errors, track progress in vocabulary acquisition, and provide the teacher with a dashboard summarizing class-wide performance. This data-driven insight allows the teacher to identify common areas of difficulty and adjust their instructional strategy accordingly, while freeing them from the most time-consuming aspects of assessment.

3.3. The Three-Stage Implementation Framework

To translate this conceptual model into practice, a three-stage implementation framework is proposed, aligning the collaborative activities with the natural cycle of teaching and learning.

3.3.1. Stage One: Pre-Class (Design and Personalization)

In this stage, the collaboration is primarily between the teacher and the AI to prepare the learning environment.

Teacher's Role: The teacher, as the pedagogical architect, defines the learning objectives for the upcoming lesson. They query the AI to co-create and customize learning materials. For example, they might instruct the AI: "Generate a short story for HSK 3 level students about a foreigner trying to use the Beijing subway system for the first time. Include vocabulary related to transportation and asking for directions. Create five comprehension questions and a grammar exercise focusing on the use of '从...到...' (cóng...dào...)."

AI's Role: The AI acts as a content co-creator, instantly generating the requested text and exercises. The teacher then reviews, refines, and curates this content to ensure intelligent tutoring systems quality, cultural appropriateness, and alignment with the curriculum. The teacher can also use the AI to create differentiated materials for students with varying proficiency levels, assigning these as pre-class preparation.

3.3.2. Stage Two: In-Class (Interaction and Facilitation)

This stage focuses on active learning, where the student interacts with the AI, their peers, and the teacher.

Teacher's Role: The teacher acts as the facilitator of complex interaction. They introduce the core concepts and then orchestrate activities where students use AI as a tool for inquiry or practice. For example, in a class on Chinese food culture, the teacher might organize students into groups and assign them a task: "Use the AI to role-play a conversation with a waiter in a Sichuan restaurant. Your goal is to ask for recommendations, specify your spice tolerance, and order three dishes. Then, ask the AI to explain the cultural significance of one of the dishes you ordered." While students engage with the AI, the teacher circulates, listening in, providing pragmatic feedback, and addressing nuanced questions that the AI might not handle well. The session concludes with a teacher-led discussion comparing the groups' experiences and a deeper exploration of cultural themes.

AI's Role: The AI functions as an immersive practice partner and an information resource. It provides a dynamic and interactive environment for students to apply their knowledge in a controlled setting.

3.3.3. Stage Three: Post-Class (Consolidation and Assessment)

This final stage leverages AI to reinforce learning and provide data for future planning.

Teacher's Role: The teacher designs personalized follow-up tasks and reviews AI-generated performance analytics. They might assign homework where each student must write a short paragraph summarizing their in-class experience, which they first submit to an AI for grammatical feedback and revision before submitting the final version to the teacher for a holistic evaluation of content and creativity. The teacher then reviews the AI's dashboard, which might indicate that 70% of students are still struggling with a particular grammar structure, informing their plan for the next lesson.

AI's Role: The AI acts as a personalized tutor and an assessment assistant. It provides immediate, individualized feedback on homework assignments and consolidates performance data for the teacher, closing the feedback loop and enabling a cycle of continuous improvement.

4. Scenarios of Application in International Chinese Language Education

To further elucidate the practical utility of the "Teacher-AI" collaborative model, this section explores four concrete application scenarios across different domains of Chinese language learning. These scenarios illustrate how the redefined roles and the three-stage framework can be operationalized to enhance student learning outcomes.

4.1. Scenario 1: Personalized Vocabulary and Grammar Acquisition

(1) Challenge: Students in a single class often have vastly different levels of mastery over specific vocabulary and grammar points. A one-size-f intelligent tutoring systems-all approach either bores advanced students or leaves struggling students behind.

(2) Collaborative Model Application

Pre-Class: The teacher introduces a new grammar pattern, for example, the '把' (bǎ) structure. They then use the AI to create a tiered set of exercises. For homework, students complete a diagnostic quiz.

In-Class: Based on the quiz results, the AI automat Computer-Assisted Language Learningy assigns each student to a personalized practice pathway. A student who has mastered the basic form might be given exercises on more complex '把' sentences, while a student who is struggling receives more foundational drills with hints and immediate feedback. The AI acts as the personalized tutor. Meanwhile, the teacher is freed from managing these mechanical drills and can form a small focus group with the most challenged students, providing direct, human-centric intervention. The teacher acts as the humanistic mentor for this group.

Post-Class: Students are assigned to write five original sentences using the '把' structure. They first receive AI feedback on grammatical accuracy. The teacher then assesses these sentences not just for correctness, but for creativity and contextual appropriateness, providing higher-level feedback.

4.2. Scenario 2: Developing Communicative Competence through Simulated Dialogues

(1) Challenge: Many learners, especially those in non-Mandarin speaking environments, lack opportunities for authentic, low-stakes conversational practice, leading to high levels of speaking anxiety.

(2) Collaborative Model Application

Pre-Class: The teacher sets up a scenario: "Negotiating the price of a souvenir in a Chinese market." They provide students with key vocabulary and functional expressions.

In-Class: The teacher introduces the cultural context of bargaining in China. Then, students engage in one-on-one role-playing with an AI chatbot programmed to act as a street vendor. The AI, as the immersive practice partner, can be persistent, friendly, or even slightly challenging, providing a dynamic and realistic interaction. The teacher circulates and observes, taking notes on common pragmatic errors or hesitation points.

Post-Class: The teacher leads a debriefing session, discussing the interactions. "What strategies worked best? What were the cultural assumptions at play?" This discussion, facilitated by the teacher, elevates the activity from simple practice to a deeper socio-cultural learning experience. For homework, students can reflect on the experience in a written journal or record a revised version of their negotiation.

4.3. Scenario 3: Enhancing Intercultural Competence

(1) Challenge: Teaching intercultural competence is complex and goes beyond factual knowledge. It requires understanding subtle values, assumptions, and communication styles, which are difficult to convey through textbooks alone.

(2) Collaborative Model Application

Pre-Class: Students are asked to read a short text about the concept of '面子' (mianzi - face).

In-Class: The teacher initiates a discussion on the topic. They then present a business dilemma: "Your Chinese colleague made a significant mistake in a report. How do you address it without causing them to lose face?" Students first discuss in small groups. Then, they use the AI to simulate a conversation with the colleague to test their proposed communication strategies. They can try different approaches (direct, indirect) and ask the AI, programmed with cultural context, to predict the colleague's reaction and explain the reasoning behind it.

Post-Class: The teacher, as the facilitator of complex interaction, leads a conclusive discussion comparing the outcomes of the different strategies tested with the AI. This process allows students to explore the nuances of intercultural communication in a safe, experimental space, with the AI providing initial scenarios and the teacher providing the critical, synthesizing wisdom.

4.4. Scenario 4: Scaffolding for Academic and Professional Chinese Writing

(1) Challenge: Writing in a second language, especially for academic or professional purposes, is a demanding task. Students need support with brainstorming, structuring arguments, and refining linguistic expression.

(2) Collaborative Model Application

Pre-Class (Brainstorming & Outlining): The teacher assigns an essay topic, for instance, "The impact of e-commerce on daily life in China." Students use the AI as a brainstorming partner to generate ideas and create a structured outline. The teacher provides guidance on prompt engineering to elicit the most useful output from the AI.

In-Class (Drafting & Peer Review): Students work on drafting their essays. They can use the AI as a linguistic resource to look up more sophisticated vocabulary or rephrase sentences for better flow. The teacher then organizes a peer review session, emphasizing that the focus should be on the clarity of the argument and the strength of the evidence, aspects that require human critical judgment.

Post-Class (Revision & Final Assessment): Before final submission, students can use an AI-powered tool for a final check on grammar and spelling. This allows them to submit a polished draft. The teacher's final assessment, as the assessor of deep competence, focuses entirely on the higher-order aspects of the writing: the originality of the thesis, the logical coherence of the argument, and the depth of critical analysis—elements that are fundamentally human and beyond the scope of current Generative Artificial Intelligence evaluation.

5. Discussion and Implications

The proposition of a "Teacher-AI" collaborative paradigm, while promising, is not a panacea. intelligent tutoring systems implementation carries significant pedagogical implications, confronts notable challenges, and necessitates a clear agenda for future research. A critical discussion of these aspects is essential for a responsible and effective integration of Generative Artificial Intelligence into the fabric of International Chinese Language Education.

5.1. Pedagogical Implications

The widespread adoption of this model would catalyze a profound shift in International Chinese Language Education pedagogy. Firstly, it would accelerate the move from a teacher-centered, knowledge-transmission model to a truly student-centered, competency-development model. With AI managing personalized practice, the teacher's primary function becomes the cultivation of critical thinking, creativity, and cross-cultural communication skills. The classroom transforms from a place of instruction to a laboratory for experimentation and a forum for deep dialogue.

Secondly, the nature of curriculum and materials design would be fundamentally altered. The static, one-size-f intelligent tutoring systems-all textbook may become less central, replaced by dynamic, AI-cogenerated learning ecosystems that are constantly adapted to the learners' needs and interests. The curriculum would become more flexible and modular, allowing teachers to architect unique learning pathways for their students.

Thirdly, assessment practices would need to evolve significantly. There would be a decreased emphasis on rote memorization and grammatical accuracy in isolation, as these can be effectively practiced and assessed with AI. Instead, assessment would gravitate towards project-based learning, portfolio evaluation, and performance-based tasks that measure a student's ability to use the language effectively and appropriately in complex, real-world scenarios.

5.2. Challenges and Limitations

Despite intelligent tutoring systems potential, the model faces several formidable challenges that must be proactively addressed.

Ethical Concerns: The use of AI in education raises critical ethical questions. These include data privacy (how is student interaction data stored and used?), algorithmic bias (do AI models perpetuate cultural or linguistic stereotypes?), and academic integrity (how can we distinguish between AI-assisted work and plagiarism?). Educational institutions must develop clear ethical guidelines and policies to govern the use

of these technologies.

Technological and Equity Barriers: The vision of a seamless "Teacher-AI" collaboration presumes equitable access to reliable internet, powerful computing devices, and premium AI tools. The "digital divide" could be exacerbated, creating a two-tiered system where students in well-resourced institutions benefit from AI augmentation while others are left behind.

The Need for New Teacher Literacies: The most significant barrier to implementation is the human one. This model demands a new and sophisticated set of skills from teachers. They need not be AI experts, but they must develop "AI literacy," which includes the ability to use AI tools effectively, to design pedagogiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy sound AI-integrated tasks, and to guide students in the critical and ethical use of AI. This requires a massive investment in professional development and a fundamental rethinking of teacher training programs.

Risk of Over-Reliance and De-skilling: There is a tangible risk that an over-reliance on AI for basic tasks could lead to the atrophy of certain cognitive skills in students or pedagogical skills in teachers. The model must be carefully balanced to ensure that AI is used as a scaffold to be eventually removed, not as a permanent crutch. The teacher's role as the pedagogical architect is crucial in mitigating this risk by designing tasks that continue to challenge students and teachers in meaningful ways.

5.3. Future Research Directions

This paper presents a theoretical model; intelligent tutoring systems efficacy must be rigorously tested through empirical research. Several avenues for future inquiry are apparent.

Efficacy Studies: Longitudinal and comparative studies are needed to measure the impact of this collaborative model on various aspects of student learning, including linguistic proficiency, communicative competence, intercultural sensitivity, and learner motivation, compared to traditional teaching methods.

Teacher Professional Development: Research is needed to identify the most effective models for training International Chinese Language Education teachers to thrive in this new paradigm. What specific competencies do they need? What forms of training (workshops, collaborative action research, online modules) are most impactful?

Development of Specialized Generative Artificial Intelligence Tools: While general-purpose LLMs are powerful, there is a need for the development of specialized Generative Artificial Intelligence tools designed specifiComputer-Assisted Language Learningy for the nuances of International Chinese Language Education [8]. This could include AI tutors trained on pedagogical principles of second language acquisition, with a deep understanding of common learner errors and the intricacies of Chinese culture.

Ethical Framework Development: Scholars, policymakers, and practitioners need to collaborate on developing robust ethical frameworks for the use of Generative Artificial Intelligence in language education. This research should focus on creating practical guidelines for data privacy, algorithmic fairness, and academic integrity in the International Chinese Language Education context.

6. Conclusion

The rise of Generative AI marks a pivotal moment in the history of education, and International Chinese Language Education stands to be profoundly impacted. This paper has argued against a simplistic view of AI as either a panacea or a threat, proposing instead a "Teacher-AI" collaborative teaching paradigm built on a robust theoretical foundation of Sociocultural Theory and Connectivism. We have moved beyond the abstract to delineate a concrete model characterized by a redefinition of roles—with the teacher as the pedagogical architect and the AI as the indefatigable assistant—and a structured three-stage implementation framework.

The proposed paradigm offers a pathway to transform International Chinese Language Education into a more personalized, engaging, and effective endeavor. It empowers teachers to transcend the limitations of traditional instruction and focus on the deeply human aspects of education: mentorship, critical inquiry, and the fostering of intercultural bridges. It equips learners not only with Chinese language proficiency but also with the essential metacognitive skills required to navigate a future defined by human-AI collaboration.

The journey towards this new paradigm is not without intelligent tutoring systems obstacles. The challenges of ethical governance, digital equity, and teacher preparedness are substantial and demand our collective attention and effort. However, these challenges should not deter us from exploration but should instead guide our approach to be critical, intentional, and human-centered.

The ultimate vision is not one of technology-driven classrooms, but of human-led learning communities augmented and enriched by intelligent systems. The goal is to harness the computational power of artificial intelligence to amplify the intellectual and emotional power of human teachers. By embracing a collaborative synergy between teacher and AI, we can unlock new possibilities for learners and advance the global mission of International Chinese Language Education in an increasingly interconnected and complex world[9][10].

Acknowledgment

Fund support:

(1) Guangdong Higher Education Association's 14th Five-Year Plan 2025 Higher Education Research Project: “Research on Collaborative Mechanisms and Implementation Pathways for AI-Empowered Personalized Physical Education in Universities” (Project No.: 25GQN010)

(2) Guangdong Higher Education Association “14th Five-Year Plan” 2025 Higher Education Research Project: “Research on the Mechanism and Pathways for Constructing Scenario-Based Teaching Models in Ideological and Political Education Empowered by Generative AI in Universities” (Project No.: 25GN011)

(3) 2025 Guangdong Provincial Higher Education Party Building Research Association Project: “Research on Generative AI-Empowered Innovation Mechanisms for Party Building Promotion Content and Human-Machine Collaborative Creation Pathways in Universities” (Project No.: 2025YB041)

(4) Guangdong Financial College 2025 University-Level Project on Marxist Theory and Ideological and Political Education Research: “Research on Generative AI-Empowered Mechanisms and Pathways for Producing Online Ideological and Political Education Content in Universities” (Project No.: 25XJ22)

References

[1] Chomsky, N., Roberts, I., & Watumull, J. (2023, March 8). Noam Chomsky: The False Promise of ChatGPT. The New York Times.

[2] Ortega, L. (2020). Understanding second language acquisition. Hodder Education.

[3] Warschauer, M., & Healey, D. (2019). Computers and language learning: An overview. Language Teaching, 31(2), 57-71.

[4] Kasneci, G., Sessler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., ... & Kasneci, E. (2023). ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. Educational Technology Research and Development, 71(1), 1-15.

[5] Kohnke, L., Moorhouse, B. L., & Zou, D. (2023). ChatGPT for language teaching and learning. RELC Journal, 00336882231162868.

[6] Vygotsky, L. S. (2020). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.

[7] Siemens, G. (2019). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning, 2(1), 3-10.

[8] Lantolf, J. P. (2020). Sociocultural theory and second language learning. Oxford University Press.

[9] Kramsch, C. (2024). Teaching foreign languages in an era of globalization: Introduction. The Modern Language Journal, 98(1), 296-311.

[10] Chapelle, C. A. (2019). The relationship between second language acquisition theory and computer-assisted language learning. The Modern Language Journal, 93, 741-753.