Journal of Computing and Electronic Information Management
ISSN: 2413-1660 | Vol. 18, No. 3, 2025

AI Empowerment: Digital Transformation of raduate Education overnance

Beibei Shang

Jiangxi Agricultural University, Nanchang Jiangxi, China

Abstract: With the advent of the digital era, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a pivotal force reshaping the governance framework of graduate education. Deeply integrated into every aspect of postgraduate training, AI plays a vital role in optimizing educational models. However, this AI-driven transformation faces multiple challenges including data governance, technical ethics, and stakeholder adaptation. To address these issues, innovative approaches are required—such as fostering scenario innovation through technology integration, restructuring collaborative governance models, and establishing data-driven closed-loop systems. These measures will facilitate the digital transformation of graduate education governance structures, enhance governance efficiency, and propel the field toward high-quality development.

Keywords: AI empowerment; Graduate education; Modern governance; Digital transformation.

1. Introduction

With the rapid advancement of digital technologies, artificial intelligence (AI) has permeated every sector of society. As a crucial arena for talent cultivation, education is undergoing unprecedented profound transformations, particularly in modernizing graduate education governance, which now stands at the crossroads of digital transformation. Serving as the pinnacle of higher education systems, graduate education bears the vital responsibility of nurturing high-level innovative talents and enhancing research capabilities, serving as a core indicator of a nations higher education strength [1]. In the wave of digital transformation, AIs deep integration into graduate education governance brings new momentum for restructuring educational management systems. By analyzing massive educational data, AI technology enables intelligent decision-making for administrators, ensuring precise and effective governance. This not only drives the modernization of graduate education management systems but also significantly elevates the quality of talent cultivation and research standards. Currently, we need to clarify the trajectory of AIs empowerment in graduate education, explore innovative thinking patterns from fresh perspectives, and apply cutting-edge digital technologies to improve methodologies. Only through such efforts can we fully unleash AIs potential, optimize graduate education governance systems, comprehensively enhance governance efficiency, and truly make AI the core driving force for high-quality development in graduate education [2].

2. The multiple implications of AI in empowering graduate education governance

First, it can promote the construction of a strong country in graduate education. In the context of the new era, societys demand for high-level innovative talents has become increasingly urgent. China has clarified its development goals and plans to preliminarily build a China-characterized strong country in graduate education by 2035 [3]. In enhancing national research competitiveness, AI plays a significant role. Deep learning assists in processing complex experimental data, accelerates scientific analysis processes, promotes interdisciplinary collaboration, significantly shortens the cycle for translating research achievements into practical applications, and becomes a key driver for breaking through "chokepoint" technologies. The University of Science and Technology of China (USTC) has utilized AI to optimize quantum computing experiment designs, greatly improving research efficiency. Data-driven decision-making models such as discipline evaluation based on large-scale models have also transformed educational governance from traditional empirical management to scientific foresight. Second, it aligns with technological development trends. The rapid advancement of information technology has introduced new concepts and methods into graduate education governance [4]. AI is driving educational digital transformation and reshaping teaching scenarios. Technologies like deep learning and computer vision create virtual laboratories and intelligent classroom analysis systems, breaking physical space limitations and making "borderless learning" a reality. Educational AI models are building knowledge graphs to transform teaching from static content delivery to dynamic generation, catering to students diverse needs. The evaluation system leverages multimodal data for comprehensive assessments, using AI to analyze paper innovation and experimental protocols, establishing holistic and scientific standards. To meet future societal demands, AI tools enhance graduate students digital literacy and critical thinking, cultivating their core competencies in the AI era.

3. Theoretical framework: Collaborative model of AI enabling education governance

(1) Dimension of technology convergence

AI technology forms the foundation of the collaborative model, encompassing multiple domains such as machine learning, deep learning, natural language processing, and computer vision. These technologies are being deeply integrated into every aspect of graduate education governance. Machine learning algorithms can analyze massive educational data to study students learning behaviors, predict academic needs and research progress [5]. They also generate

career development recommendations based on employment data, such as internship placements or research project suggestions. Deep learning enables the creation of intelligent tutoring systems that provide personalized guidance, automatically grade assignments, generate learning profiles, identify weak areas in groups, and produce multidimensional evaluation reports with optimization suggestions. Natural language processing drives AI-powered Q&A systems like teaching assistants, which integrate comprehensive textbooks. Students can ask questions about course content, and the model instantly retrieves answers, enhancing learning efficiency. Computer vision technologies including image recognition and video analysis play crucial roles in automated attendance tracking, classroom behavior analysis, and experimental operations.

(2)The dimension of subject collaboration

The collaborative dimension of education involves multiple stakeholders including educational administrators, universities, mentors, and graduate students, enabling information sharing and coordinated efforts through AI support[6]. Educational administrators transition from "experience-based decision-making" to "data-driven decision-making", utilizing machine learning to analyze national graduate education data such as graduation rates, employment rates, and research achievements. This helps identify imbalances in regional or disciplinary development and supports policy adjustments. Universities shift from "coarse management" to "precision service", leveraging AI technology to analyze students course selec tion data and research outcomes to recommend personalized curriculum combinations. Additionally, AI can optimize mentor-student matching by integrating researchers academic orientations and mentoring styles with students academic interests and personality traits, enhancing matching satisfaction. Mentors evolve from "transactional guidance" to "strategic cultivation", using AI tools to accurately identify students academic growth needs. By analyzing research project progress data and publication records, AI assists mentors in pinpointing issues and providing targeted guidance. Students transition from "passive learning" to "independent innovation", with AI tools empowering them to enhance academic capabilities and serving as intelligent academic assistants.

(3)Data-driven dimensions

The massive data generated across all aspects of graduate education serves as a critical element for model operations, including enrollment, curriculum design, research activities, administrative management, and employment data. AI technology integrates, analyzes, and mines multi-source data from various processes, transforming it into valuable decision-making information. By constructing an interconnected network linking students, mentors, courses, and research outcomes, a unified data platform is established that integrates academic affairs systems, laboratory management platforms, library systems, and other components to break down data silos. AI predictive models enable precise enrollment and candidate optimization, leveraging historical data such as undergraduate academic performance and research experience to predict graduate capabilities and assist in selecting highly compatible candidates. Additionally, dynamic adjustments to training programs can be made based on students learning behaviors and experimental data. Data also drives the rational allocation of educational resources. Through in-depth data insights, resource demands across disciplines and research directions—such as laboratory equipment and research funding—are understood, thereby improving resource utilization efficiency [7].

4. The practical dilemma of digital transformation

With the rapid development of science and technology, AI has brought new opportunities for the digital transformation of modern governance of postgraduate education. However, in the process of practice, many difficulties have also come with it.

(1) The dual dilemma of data governance and privacy security.

Graduate education encompasses multiple aspects including admissions, curriculum design, research, and administration, with data scattered across various systems. Data integration between universities and departments faces barriers, resulting in widespread data silos. The lack of robust data-sharing mechanisms among institutions makes it challenging to obtain high-quality, comprehensive data for AI model training—much like a puzzle missing crucial pieces that prevents complete visualization [8]. Take the disconnect between academic management systems and laboratory management platforms as an example: this prevents comprehensive, real-time assessment of students research capabilities, thereby limiting AI applications in precision education and personalized training. Meanwhile, data collection and usage carry significant privacy risks. Improper handling of sensitive student data could infringe on personal privacy. Although technologies like federated learning attempt to address these issues, algorithmic vulnerabilities persist like ticking time bombs. Furthermore, the absence of standardized data formats and substantial variations in experimental data across disciplines lead to inconsistent metadata standards, severely constraining AI analysis effectiveness.

(2) Hidden concerns about technological dependence and the dissolution of ethical relations

The emergence of generative AI brings convenience but risks fostering technological dependency through diminished learning capabilities. Over-reliance on AI-generated answers or literature reviews may erode students capacity for independent thinking and innovation, leading to intellectual inertia and compromised research autonomy. Ethically, AIs advanced human-computer interaction systems could redefine traditional mentorship dynamics. Automated plagiarism detection tools, for instance, might substitute for some academic supervision roles, weakening the mentor-student relationship. While virtual classrooms transcend physical constraints, they lack the real-time interaction and emotional connection inherent in human learning environments. In academic evaluations, algorithmic assessments prioritizing quantitative metrics often overlook interdisciplinary researchs potential societal value. The opaque nature of AI-driven evaluation systems makes transparent explanations difficult, leaving students without recourse. Moreover, human biases in training models for admissions and scholarship allocations may create algorithmic biases, perpetuating implicit discrimination against disadvantaged groups and undermining educational equity [9].

(3) Lack of adaptability of educational subjects

Regarding digital literacy among teachers and students, some educators demonstrate limited proficiency in operating AI tools, making it difficult to integrate them into instructional design—akin to wielding advanced weaponry without knowing how to wield it. Students, conversely, exhibit excessive reliance on AI tools, leading to diminished critical thinking and independent research capabilities, prioritizing technical proficiency over fundamental learning. In the role transition, mentors face immense pressure as they shift from traditional "knowledge transmitters" to "research facilitators" [10]. The lack of training hinders adaptation to new teacher-student relationships, sometimes even triggering resistance. Students, meanwhile, overdependence on AI tools weakens their independent research abilities. Administratively, institutions exhibit decision-making inertia and reliance on conventional experience, with inadequate data-driven decision-making capabilities. Most universities lack a centralized data platform, resulting in fragmented data integration. As AI technology evolves at a pace far exceeding institutional updates, policy responses lag behind, leaving insufficient academic guidelines for AI-generated content and management gaps. Regarding resource allocation and training mechanisms, digital resources show regional disparities. Universities in central and western China, constrained by funding, can only access basic tools compared to those in developed regions. Systematic training frameworks are lacking, with most institutions offering limited digital literacy programs that emphasize theory over practical skill development. Educators growing technical anxiety and resistance clash with the traditional mentorship culture, while AI-driven collaborative models undermine research efficiency.

(4) Conflict between technology application and the essence of education

The tension between standardization and personalization has become increasingly pronounced. AI-driven personalized learning, which should foster tailored education, has paradoxically exacerbated the uneven distribution of educational resources in reality. While AI-powered study rooms can create customized learning plans for students, their exorbitant costs have concentrated such premium resources in developed regions. Rural students, constrained by financial limitations, struggle to access equivalent learning opportunities, further widening the urban-rural education gap. Equally concerning is the lagging evaluation system. Traditional assessment methods prove inadequate in AI-enhanced research contexts. Take virtual simulation experiments as an example: the absence of unified quantitative evaluation standards undermines the precision and scientific rigor in assessing students research capabilities. Moreover, technologys reduction of learning behaviors to data streams overlooks emotional engagement, cognitive depth, and the development of soft skills like critical thinking and teamwork. For instance, a graduate student who accumulates numerous failed experiments gains invaluable experience but gets labeled as an "inefficient researcher" by AI due to their high failure rate. The social value embedded in humanities research—such as cultural preservation and policy recommendations—falters in quantification and consequently gets marginalized in resource allocation.

Insufficiency of institutional guarantee and ecological coordination

Chinas policy framework and standards remain underdeveloped, with the national level lacking unified guiding documents. As of 2023, no top-level policies specifically addressing AI education governance have been issued nationwide. Existing regulations are fragmented, such as the "Ethical Guidelines for New Generation Artificial Intelligence," while only a few universities like Fudan University have established AI usage standards. This fragmented approach has resulted in inconsistent implementation standards across institutions. In educational data collection, storage, and utilization, unclear responsibilities and legal definitions persist. Industry-education integration remains superficial, with technological demands from enterprises failing to align with university curriculum design. Most school-enterprise collaborations remain limited to equipment donations or nominal internship base affiliations, lacking substantive technical collaboration. For instance, Minzu Vocational College attempted integrating industrial internet projects into teaching, but faculty engagement was minimal, while student participation remained limited to basic tasks. Many universities struggle to bridge the gap between classroom learning and real-world application scenarios, making graduates skills ill-suited for industry needs. Furthermore, the mismatch between technological evolution and educational cycles exacerbates this issue. With AI technologies advancing rapidly while educational reforms lag behind, course content often becomes outdated. Some universities AI general education courses remain stuck at the theoretical stage, failing to incorporate cutting-edge technologies like large models, creating knowledge gaps that disconnect students expertise from contemporary demands.

5. Practical paths of AI enabling graduate education governance

(1) Scenario innovation driven by technology convergence

Intelligent teaching scenario

By leveraging AI-powered intelligent teaching scenarios, we can enhance educational quality, provide personalized learning support, and achieve precise instruction. These systems optimize graduate students learning experiences through immersive multimedia teaching enabled by technology, increasing engagement while overcoming spatial-temporal limitations. Technologies like virtual laboratories enable high-risk, high-cost experimental operations. First, we establish an adaptive learning platform [11]. Using AI big data models, the system analyzes students academic progress and foundational knowledge, recommending exercises tailored to their proficiency levels. For students with strong foundations, advanced research cases or extended assignments are recommended; those with weaker foundations receive guidance starting from detailed theoretical explanations paired with progressive practice. As learning progresses, the system dynamically tracks student performance until mastery is achieved, truly realizing personalized education. Second, we deeply apply virtual laboratories. For medical majors requiring dissection, computer science majors needing simulated programming environments, and mechanical engineering majors involving part processing, virtual labs break through time and space constraints. Students can embark on learning journeys anytime, anywhere with just internet access and devices, significantly improving flexibility and convenience. In virtual spaces, repeated simulation of complex operations not only avoids equipment damage and resource waste caused by real-

world errors but also enables rapid accumulation of practical experience and skill enhancement [12].

Research support tool chain

Research constitutes a vital component of graduate education, where AI provides powerful tools to enhance scientific endeavors. First, intelligent literature search systems have revolutionized workflows. While traditional methods often required time-consuming searches with imprecise queries and potential omissions, modern NLP-based systems now intelligently interpret complex requirements. For example, when asked to "find the latest AI applications in education published in core journals within the past five years," the system efficiently retrieves relevant papers sorted by relevance and importance, dramatically improving efficiency. Second, generative AI has become indispensable in academic writing. Beyond assisting with paper structuring and literature review, it performs grammar checks, logical optimizations, and stylistic adjustments. When graduate students draft initial papers, generative AI can refine content by addressing logical flaws and aligning with academic standards, significantly enhancing quality.

Management decision platform

The management decision-making platform plays a central role in graduate education governance. Leveraging AI technology, it integrates multi-source data and intelligent algorithms through a four-dimensional linkage of "resource matching-risk warning-process optimization-policy iteration," reshaping the fundamental logic of graduate education governance. Specific application scenarios include: First, dynamic resource matching and optimized allocation. The platform constructs an intelligent matching system for "students-mentors-resources" based on algorithms, breaking through traditional extensive management models to achieve two-way profile matching between mentors and students. In terms of research resource allocation, the platform dynamically monitors resource usage, prioritizing and flexibly allocating resources according to project urgency and disciplinary trends to ensure efficient utilization. Second, full-process risk warning and intervention mechanism. By analyzing big data across the entire graduate education process, the platform establishes key indicator thresholds to monitor multidimensional data including course performance, research progress, and mental health in real-time.

(2) Reconstruction of governance model of subject collaboration

In the process of digital transformation in which AI deeply empowers the modern governance of postgraduate education, reconstructing the governance mode of subject collaboration is the key to resolve the adaptive dilemma of educational subjects, which is mainly promoted by the three levels of teachers and students, educational management departments and universities.

Teacher-student level: stratified training and ability remodeling

At the faculty and student levels, we aim to establish a comprehensive and targeted tiered training system. For students, we begin with AI general education courses that comprehensively teach foundational tools like natural language processing and machine learning, equipping them with essential AI application skills and enhancing their data literacy in scientific research. Building on this foundation, practical platforms such as "AI+ Summer School" provide advanced research support training. This includes in-depth exploration of generative AI applications in experimental design and paper optimization, cultivating students ability to deeply integrate AI technology into their research. Simultaneously, we establish digital literacy certification and incentive mechanisms, incorporating AI tool proficiency into graduate graduation evaluations. The creation of an "AI Research Innovation Award" motivates both faculty and students to enhance their digital literacy through performance-based recognition and rewards [13].

Education management departments: policy guidance and data governance

Educational authorities should establish a sustainable AI education governance framework through institutional innovation, data governance as the foundation, technology empowerment as the means, and ecological collaboration as the goal. First, prioritize strengthening policy guidance and data governance functions. In policy formulation, develop a national-level framework by promoting the issuance of the "Guidelines for the Use of Artificial Intelligence Tools in Higher Education Institutions," which clarifies ethical boundaries for technology application. Second, advance standardized data governance by breaking down data silos. Develop differentiated data standards and classification systems for various disciplines, promote inter-university data sharing platforms across regions, and build a secure information ecosystem to protect privacy data and ensure technology implementation safety. Third, enhance evaluation systems by leveraging AI for intelligent discipline assessments. Analyze degree program operations to dynamically adjust academic structures, while establishing "mentor competency profiles" and "student research potential models." Incorporate faculty-student matching satisfaction into mentor performance evaluations.

University level: resource integration and cultural ecology construction

Higher education institutions are vigorously advancing resource integration and cultural ecosystem development. First, they establish interdisciplinary collaboration platforms by integrating resources across departments and disciplines to create cross-disciplinary laboratories. Concurrently, partnerships with enterprises enable research practices in real-world scenarios, while virtual teaching communities facilitate tripartite collaboration among educators, machines, and students, achieving remote collaboration and immersive learning[14]. Second, they reshape human-machine collaboration culture by incorporating AI ethics into mandatory academic integrity courses, conducting regular ethical education to cultivate critical technology usage skills among faculty and students. Forums like "AI and the Essence of Education" are organized to engage experts from various fields, alleviating technical anxiety. A hybrid evaluation system combining AI quantitative assessments with expert qualitative reviews is established, emphasizing process-oriented evaluations over single outcome assessments. Discipline evaluations now include qualitative indicators such as "cultural heritage contributions" and "social value contributions," while clearly defining AIs boundaries to maintain human dominance in core educational scenarios.

(3) Data-driven governance loop

Full-process data collection

Comprehensive data collection forms the foundation of closed-loop governance, addressing the critical question of data sourcing. By integrating multi-source data from admissions, curriculum, research, and employment, the establishment of a university-level data platform serves as the

cornerstone for data-driven governance. Admissions data reflects student quality and enrollment trends; course data demonstrates learning outcomes and instructional effectiveness; research data showcases academic capabilities and achievements; employment data provides insights into career development and market demands. Through a centralized data sharing platform, fragmented information across departments and systems—such as academic affairs, research management, and student administration—can be consolidated for analysis. This integration breaks down information silos, enabling seamless data interoperability and resource sharing. Such connectivity provides robust data assets for quality monitoring and decision-making support.

Dynamic quality control

Dynamic quality monitoring serves as a safeguard in educational processes, ensuring data reliability and usability. In graduate education, students research practices form a core component. By leveraging AIs robust da ta analysis capabilities, we examine process-related data such as experimental logs and thesis drafts, which reveal the formation of students research thinking and application of methodologies. Through analyzing experimental logs, we can assess students operational proficiency and problem-solving approaches, generating real-time research capability profiles to comprehensively evaluate their research capabilities and development potential. Simultaneously, AI technology establishes academic risk early-warning models by integrating multi-source data—such as literature search patterns in academic databases and timelines of papers/research projects—to promptly identify potential academic misconduct or slow progress. This enables timely interventions and guidance. For instance, when AI detects suspected plagiarism in a students thesis, the system issues immediate alerts, prompting supervisors and students to verify and rectify issues, thereby upholding academic integrity and research quality.

Intelligent decision support

Intelligent decision support serves as the cornerstone of governance closed-loop systems, enabling data-driven action. It plays a pivotal role in scenarios such as resource allocation optimization and policy evaluation. Leveraging cutting-edge algorithms like machine learning and deep learning, it conducts in-depth analysis and mining of student data—including course performance, classroom engagement metrics, research project outcomes, academic publications, career intentions, and corporate feedback—to predict students professional development paths. This provides universities and enterprises with precise talent supply-demand information. Taking Fudan Universitys "AI Career Mentor" as an example, the system analyzes students professional skills, interests, and internship experiences to recommend suitable career directions and job opportunities, along with corresponding career development advice and training resources.

6. Conclusion

With the continuous iteration of AI technology and its deep integration with educational governance systems, graduate education is entering a new phase of "intelligent coexistence between digitalization and humanistic values". While AI holds vast potential for empowering the digital transformation of graduate education governance, it also presents multiple challenges. Technologically, addressing data privacy security remains crucial—developing advanced encryption and privacy protection technologies like federated learning mechanisms to ensure secure data sharing and analysis. The key lies in enhancing educators capabilities: strengthening digital literacy among faculty and students through systematic training programs that cover both foundational theories and cutting-edge applications. Mentors must adapt from being mere knowledge transmitters to innovative guides, leveraging AI to deeply understand student needs and provide tailored guidance that fosters creative thinking and independent research skills. In building an educational ecosystem, industry-academia collaboration should be intensified. Universities and enterprises need to closely partner to develop market-aligned curricula and research projects, cultivating practical innovators. National and local governments should expedite the establishment of unified AI education governance policies and standards, creating a clear framework that defines responsibilities and ensures regulated application of AI in education. Ultimately, the digital transformation of graduate education governance must prioritize human-centered principles, fostering an ecosystem where technology, institutions, and culture synergize. This will enable the paradigm shift from "AI-enhancing education" to "education defining AI", ushering in a new era of high-quality development characterized by intelligence and human warmth.

References

[1] Zhai Yajun, Wang Zhanjun. Digital Intelligence Empowers the Transformation and Construction of China's Graduate Education Management Organizational Forms [J]. Tsinghua University Educational Research, 2023,44(06):63-73.DOI:10.14138/j.1001-4519.2023.06.006311.

[2] Lin Rong and Zhang Zehui.AI Empowering the Modernization of GraduateEducation Governance Systems [J]. Degree and Graduate Education, 2024,(12):9-15.DOI:10.16750/j.adge.2024.12.002.

[3] Wang Zhijun, Yu Yan, Wang Qing. Innovations in Graduate Education: Five Key Transformations to Recognize [J]. Degree and Graduate Education, 2021,(02):1-7.DOI:10.16750/j.adge.2021.02.001.

[4] Wang Zhijun, Zhang Wei, and Qiao Weifeng.AI Empowering Graduate Education Management: A GROM Theoretical Framework [J]. 2024,(12):1-8.DOI:10.16750/j.adge.2024.12.001.

[5] Lu Tao. How can artificial intelligence empower educational development? [N]. People's Daily, 2025-03-13 (011). DOI:10.28655/n.cnki.nrmrb.2025.002847.

[6] Wen Wen. New Perspectives on Empowering Higher Education with Artificial Intelligence [J]. Beijing Education (Higher Education), 2025(03):29-33.

[7] Wang Xuewei, Liu Jun, and Ding Xilong. Research on Innovation in Industry-Education Integration Talent Cultivation Model in the AI Era [J]. Journal of Ningbo Vocational & Technical College, 2025,29(02):57-64+71.

[8] Jiang Liangqin. The Logic, Value, and Practical Pathways of Artificial Intelligence Empowering Vocational Education Development [J]. Journal of Heilongjiang Teachers' College, 2025,44(03):85-88.

[9] Gao Yunguo and Li Shanshan. Pathways to Enhancing Teaching Competence of Vocational College Faculty in the AI Era [J]. Modern Vocational Education, 2025(07):53-56.

[10] Song Qianfei and Jiang Fengguang. AI Empowered Educational Governance Model: Innovating the Jiao Tong

University Paradigm for AI-Facilitated Educational Management [J]. Shanghai Education, 2025(03):18-19.

[11] Zhang Yingqiang. Higher Education Governance Transformation in the Digital Age: Empowerment and Limitations of Digitalization [J]. Fudan Education Forum, 2024,22(05):5-12 .DOI:10.13397/j.cnki.fef.2024.05.010.

[12] Gu Xiaoqing. Frontier Trends of Empowering Educational Digital Transformation with Intelligent Technology--Introduction to the 2023 Artificial Intelligence for Education Development Report [J]. China Education Informatization, 2024,30(07):3-12.

[13] Zhu Zhitin, Dai Ling, Hu Jiao. New Ideas on Empowering the Digital Transformation of Higher Education with AIGC Technology [J]. China Higher Education Research, 2023, (06):12-19+34.DOI:10.16298/j.cnki.1004-3667.2023.06.02.

[14] Yu Yan, Lin Genrong. Empowering High-Quality Development of Graduate Education with Digital Technology: How It Is Possible and How It Can Be [J]. China Higher Education Research, 2022, (11):53-60. DOI: 10.16298 / j.cnki.1004-3667.2022.11.07.